

Quadrant IV – In Module Assessment

Paper Code: PIN0301

Module Name: Legal effects of Recognition and Stimson's Doctrine of non-recognition

Module No: 12

MCQ

1. In which case the Court applied the legal fiction the acts of subordinate authority of a recognised State shall be accorded recognition
 - a) Carl Zeiss Stiftung v. Rayner and Keeler 1921
 - b) Luther v. Sagor & Co.
 - c) Arantzazu Mendi case
 - d) Duff Development Company v. Government of Kelantan
2. In which case did the Court hold that effect must be given by Courts to legislative and executive acts both past and future.
 - a) Haile Selassie v. Cable and Wireless Ltd.
 - b) Luther v. Sagor
 - c) Arantzazu Mendi case
 - d) GUR Corporation v. Trust Bank of Africa Ltd.
3. Doctrine of Non-recognition was pronounced by
 - a) US President James Monroe
 - b) Secretary of State Henry Stimson
 - c) Genaro Estrada, Secretary of Foreign Affairs
 - d) Luis Maria Drago, Foreign Minister of Argentina

Completion type (fill-in-the-blanks)

1. The Stimson's Doctrine of non-recognition was stimulated due to Japanese invasion of Manchuria in the year _____
2. The Stimson doctrine found place in Article _____ of the Vienna Convention on Law of Treaties, 1969.

Short Answer – I (short notes - say 20 to 50 words)

1. Write a note on doctrine of non-recognition
2. Can legal proceedings be instituted by a unrecognised State in other State which has not granted recognition?

Short Answer – II (extended – say 50 to 100 words)

1. What is the legal effect of recognition?
2. Explain in detail the Stimson's doctrine and state its influence.