Quadrant II - Notes

Paper Code:IST 0318

Module Name: Construction to prevent evasion or abuse and Equitable

construction

Module No: 18

_	Construction to prevent evasion
Ц	Statutes are made with a purpose . Some statutes impose obligations and liabilities.
	To carry out the object of the law effectually, the Courts construe a law so as to defeat all attempts to do, or avoid doing, in an indirect or circuitous manner, that which it has prohibited or enjoined.
	Courts will not allow one to do something indirectly what is prohibited directly.
	Courts will not allow persons to escape the net of the law by using various kinds of deceptive means.
	In such situations (i) Courts will suppress the mischief and advance the remedy .(ii) Courts will go beyond the form and look at the substance of transaction . Day v. Simpson
	Theatres Act 1843- prohibited under penalty the performance of a play without licence.
	Players did not come on stage. But acted from a chamber below –figures being reflected by mirrors- as if on stage.
	Held: It would extend to these performers also.
	Lafone v. Smith Statute required in certain circumstances, the insertion in a newspaper of an apology for a libel.

☐ Defendant made an apology which though suitable in terms was printed in a manner as to escape the attention of ordinary readers.
☐ Held: Provision had not been complied with.
M.V. Joshi v. Shimpi
 Appellant convicted under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act,1954 for selling adulterated butter. Contended it was not butter within the rules – as butter means butter made from milk and he made butter from curd. Held: butter is butter whether made from milk or curd.
 Construction to prevent abuse of power ☐ There are enactments which confer power on authorities. ☐ Courts can look into the bonafides of the exercise of statutory power. ☐ Lord Wrenbury-" It does not empower a man to do what he likesbut what he ought." ☐ He must act reasonably while exercising his powers. ☐ Lord MacNaghten,"one must take care not to exceed or abuse the powers conferred." Equitable Construction
☐ Law of Equity developed to set aside the rigours/harshness of common law.
 □ Construction adopting principles of justice, fairness and reasonableness is said to be an equitable construction. □ According to it, courts might vary the explicit meaning of the text whenever by supposed equity such meaning ought for the sake of justice to be extended or restricted. □ Commonly used in old statutes. □ Discrepancy between the intention of the legislature and words of the statute. □ Lack of precision in drafting of statutes and the inability of the law –makers to set down all cases in express terms,
resulted in its use. It enlarges or diminishes the letter of the law.

Case 1

- Statute gave a man power to try all causes that arise within the manor of Dale.
- Held: If a cause should arise in which he himself is a party, the Act to be construed not to extend to that case.

 Re Sigsworth □ A grandfather prepared a will in favour of his grandson □ Grandfather got married for a second time □ The grandson fearing that the grandfather would exclude him from the property killed him. □ At the time, there was no law that if someone murdered a relative ,he would be excluded from the property. □ Court applied rule of equity in this case and held the son would be disqualified from inheriting the property.
Equitable Construction
 Courts not inclined to use equitable construction to modern statutes. Municipal Board v. State Transport Authority, Rajasthan S.T. Authority had changed location of bus stand. Invited objections from public within 30 days from date of order to the Authority u/s64 A Motor Vehicles Act, 1939. Application moved after 30 days from date of order. Contention -30 days from knowledge of order.
Held: No place for equitable considerations when language is plain.
 Conclusion □ Courts adopt a construction to prevent the evasion of the statute in order to give effect to the Intention of the Legislature. □ Courts are keen in preventing the object of the law from being defeated by those who do something indirectly which is

prohibited directly by the statute.