Hello everyone, welcome tothis video. I'm Miss Venicia

Fernandez, assistantprofessor from Saint

Xavier's college, mapsa.

In this video I'll bediscussing arrival of Islam

in India under Unit 1, making of Indian society.

Model number is 7.

Program Bachelor of Arts. Second year subject sociology semester 3 paper code SoC 103paper title SoC in India. The outline for this module isintroduction and stereotyping of Islam in India.

At the end of this video, thelearner will be able to critically analyse the stereotypes regarding the arrival and spread of Islam in India.

Islam is a major world religionpropagated by Prophet Mohammed in Arabia in the 7th century. Itspread rapidly through the Middle East to Africa, Europe, the Indian subcontinent, the minimal Peninsula an China.

There are some stereotypesregarding the arrival and spread of Islam and Muslim rule inIndia that needs to be looked into in order to understand ourpresent better. The first stereotype. Is that Islamwas spread by the sword,

that is, people wereforcefully converted.

The second stereo type.

Indian society was disunited anddegenerated that it could not resist the Muslim rules.

The third stereo type isthat there was a long confrontation between Islamand Hinduism, and says that the main purpose of Muslimrule was to spread Islam.

The 4th stereo type underIslamic rule, all Muslims were in a privileged and superior position compared to non Muslims.

Now the above mentionedstereotypes are either correct or at best partially correct.

Now let us look at the firststereotype that is spread of Islam. There are three waysthrough which Islam came to India the 1st.

Islam first came to India bypeaceful methods, often with the encouragement of Hindu rulers. For example, on the Western coast, the Balhara dynasty in the North and the Zamorin of Malabar Coast welcome Muslimtraders and encourage them to settle in places like an Illawarra Kalkert ankil on these traders could freely build mostand practice their religion.

Arab and Persian immigrantssettle down along the coast. Ann married non Muslim women forexample the new white community of Konkan and the map Pillarcommunity of the Malabar Coast Historic Historical recordssuggest that in the 8th century CE E Muslims had taken upresidence in India.

Historical records suggestthat in the 8th century,

Muslims had taken upresidence in India. It is to be noted that the Arabsailors and merchants had been actively engaged intrade with India centuries before the rise of Islam.

The 2nd way through which Islamentered India is through the preachers who contributed significantly to the peaceful spread of Islam. The most famous among the preachers is Khwaja Mood in Chesty who preached in Ajmer and died there in 1236 C.

Even today he shrine attracts large crowds of devotees from the indoor park subcontinent, both Muslims and non Muslims.

They started arriving in Indiafrom 11th century onwards.

Most of them came to India of their own accord, while some of them were brought by the rulers.

The preachers had a personalzeal for the spread of Islam. Some of them were menof learning.

What needs to be emphasizedhere is that this missionaries transmittedthe message of Islam through love and without the support of the armed might of the state.

The fears and the Saints usepersuasion, and they still have a grateful and devotedfollowing, even among non Muslims. Their tombs and shrinesare still visited by large crowds. The 3rd way throughwhich Islam entered in the arm is through parallel political

conquest. Now, politicalconquest of Muslims started with

Mohammed bin Qasim, an Arab whoconquered Sindh in 712 CE.

For next 200 to 300 years therewere no invasions, but after

that Mohammed Ghaznavi an Mohammed Ghori invaded India.

Later many Muslim dynastiesruled India like the Khiljis.

The two clocks Lodhis, Mughalsan in South India. We had the

Adil Shahis Bahama kneesHaidara, Leanne, Tipu Sultan.

Not all Muslim rulers forcedpeople to convert to Islam.

For example, mogul EmperorAkbar was very liberal and he even established a newreligion then Illahi, which

included in it believes fromdifferent religions.

The second assumption is that when the Muslims arrived in

it could not resist the Muslim
rulers. Because of which the Muslim school established their
kingdoms in India because of which the Muslim rulers could
establish their kingdoms in
India. This assumption has tobe scrutinized, keeping in

India, the Indian society was disunited and degenerated that

mind the political and socialreality of that time. The infighting between rivalkingdoms had weakened Indian resistance. Nonetheless,there were some heroic efforts to respond to thechallenge posed by the invading Muslim rulers.

The third assumption is thatthere was a long confrontation between Islam and Hinduism, andsays that the main purpose of Muslim rule was to spread Islam. Yes, there was a longer anamor bitter confrontation with Islamic rulers because they brought with them their theologyand religion. A divide was created, but at the same timeassimilation was taking place.

Although some rulers tookupon themselves the mission of Islamizing society moreseriously than others, the Muslim rulers had other interests too. In terms of extending their territory, trade and Commerce.

Available some rulers practice discrimination by imposing jizya that is full text inaddition to culture that is tax on land and property.

We see that despite long yearsof Muslim rule, the overwhelming majority of people in Indiaremained Hindus, the army, the state administration, the trade, the army, the state administration and Trade and Commerce all depended on the direct and indirect support of the Hindus. Thus, in respect of zizia, we find that it wasleveled sometimes and then abolished only to be re leveledby another ruler. In fact, Islam was beingIndianized in this process. It acquired some distinctive characteristics in India in the realms of art and architecture, philosophy and religion, medicine and other secularknowledge. There was considerable interchange. Acomplex culture was gradually evolving. It may be added thatduring the Sultanate rule, the Hindu Kingdom of Region Agorawas an impressive power. So also during the Mughal rule.

The Rajputs and the Marathas were ruling their respective areas.

The 4th stereo type is that Muslims were in superior

position. This stereo type canalso be contended technically.

All Muslims were citizens of the slamic State. Followers of other faiths were not but within Muslim society itself. There was internal differentiation. For example the difference between asharaf, that is those claiming descent from groups of foreign extraction and the arch love that is converts from lower

Hindu caste. The Angel of sadder lower social position. There is another category, the Urgals, who who are treated as outcasts.

Some Hindus occupied highpositions in the Royal courts and were evengenerals in the army.

For example, Emperor Akbar's inhis quote had many Hindus.

The interaction between theHindu and Muslim aristocracy was closer. On the other hand, thepoorer Muslims remained economically and socially,backward and exploited.

In conclusion, I would like tosay that although there were confrontation and wars, therewas also accommodation and synthesis of culture.

The Muslim rulers of Indiaunderstood the value of communal harmony and realized theimportance of Inter community tolerance and understanding.

Some native rulers worked outadjustments. Some native rulers

worked out adjustments whichgave them considerable internal autonomy from the Muslim rulersapart from the Muslim dynasties and rulers there existedthriving centers of commercial and cultural exchange betweenMuslims and other groups along the Indian coast, specially inthe port port towns.

Thus, Islam, with itsreligious, political, social and economic framework camefrom foreign land through traders, preachers and rulers.But it gradually got

Indianized

These are my references.

Thank you.