

Quadrant II – Transcript and Related Materials

Programme: Bachelor of Science (First Year)

Subject: Mathematics

Paper Code: MTC 101

Paper Title: DSC 1A: Calculus and Numerical Methods

Unit: Unit 2: Real Sequences

Module Name: Properties of Subsequence

Module No: 62

Name of the Presenter: Ms. Smita Kuncolienkar

Glossary of terms/words:

Additional Examples/Illustrations

Properties of Subsequence

Property 1. If a sequence is convergent to limit l then all its subsequences also converge to same limit l .

For example : Consider sequence (x_n) where $x_n = (-1)^n$

Above sequence is not convergent because its subsequences (x_{2n}) and (x_{2n-1}) converges to two different limits 1 and -1 respectively

Property 2. If every subsequence of (x_n) converge to l then the sequence (x_n) itself converge to l

For Example : Consider (x_n) where $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$

All subsequences of (x_n) converges to 0

$\therefore (x_n)$ converges to 0

Theorem: Let (x_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} .

If $\lim (x_{2n}) = l = \lim (x_{2n-1})$ then $\lim (x_n) = l$

Proof: Let $\epsilon > 0$ be any given number.

Since $x_{2n} \rightarrow l$, by definition, there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

whenever $n \geq k_1$, $|x_{2k} - l| < \epsilon$ (i)

Since $x_{2n-1} \rightarrow l$, there exists $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

whenever $k \geq k_2$, $|x_{2k-1} - l| < \epsilon$ (ii)

Let $k_0 = \text{Max}\{k_1, k_2\}$ and let $n_0 = 2k_0$

If $n \geq n_0$, then $n \geq 2k_0 \geq 2k_1$ and $n \geq 2k_0 \geq 2k_2$

Suppose n is even, $n=2m$ say, then $2m \geq 2k_1$

Hence $m \geq k_1$

\therefore by (i), $|x_{2m} - l| < \epsilon$

Since $x_{2n-1} \rightarrow l$, there exists $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

whenever $k \geq k_2$, $|x_{2k-1} - l| < \epsilon$ (ii)

Let $k_0 = \text{Max}\{k_1, k_2\}$ and let $n_0 = 2k_0$

If $n \geq n_0$, then $n \geq 2k_0 \geq 2k_1$ and $n \geq 2k_0 \geq 2k_2$

Suppose n is even, $n=2m$ say, then $2m \geq 2k_1$

Hence $m \geq k_1$

\therefore by (i), $|x_{2m} - l| < \epsilon$

Thus from (iii) and (iv), we conclude that

if $n \geq n_0$, then $|x_n - l| < \epsilon$

Hence $x_n \rightarrow l$

i.e. $\lim (x_n) = l$

Property 3: If (x_n) is any sequence of real numbers then it has a monotone subsequence

For Example: 1 Consider sequence (x_n) where $x_n = n^2$

i.e. $(x_n) = (1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49, \dots)$

$(x_{2n}) = (4, 16, 36, \dots)$ is a monotone increasing subsequence of (x_n)

2. Consider sequence (x_n) where $x_n = \frac{1}{n} = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots)$

$(x_{2n}) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \dots)$ is a monotone decreasing subsequence of (x_n)

Definition: Peak term of a sequence

Let (x_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} .

Then m^{th} term x_m is said to be a **peak** if $x_m \geq x_n$ for all $n \geq m$

For Example: Consider $(x_n) = (1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 2, 3, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \dots)$

Consider $x_1=1$ By definition, it is **not a peak** since $x_1 \not\geq x_n$ for all $n \geq m$

Similarly $x_2=0$, $x_3=\frac{1}{2}$, $x_4=2$ are **not peaks**

But $x_5 = 3$ is a **peak**

Similarly $x_6 = \frac{1}{4}$, $x_7 = \frac{1}{5}$, ... are **all peaks**

Remark : Any sequence can have **finite peaks** or **infinite peaks**

Monotone Subsequence Theorem

Theorem: Every real sequence has a monotone subsequence

Proof: Let (x_n) be any sequence of real numbers.

Then (x_n) can have finite peaks or infinite peaks

Case (i) Let (x_n) have infinitely many peaks say $x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, x_{m_3}, \dots, x_{m_k}, \dots$

These subscripts are increasing order

i.e. $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots < m_k < \dots$

Clearly (x_{m_k}) is a monotone decreasing subsequence of (x_n)

Case (ii) Let (x_n) have finitely many peaks say $x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, x_{m_3}, \dots, x_{m_r}$

and $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots < m_r$

(Assume next term to m_r is m_{r+1})

Let $S_1 = m_{r+1}$

$\therefore x_{S_1}$ is not a peak

i.e. we will find a term which is greater than x_{S_1}

Therefore, there exists $S_2 > S_1$ such that $x_{S_2} > x_{S_1}$

Again since x_{S_2} is not a peak, there exists $S_3 > S_2$ such that $x_{S_3} > x_{S_2}$

Hence we get $x_{S_3} > x_{S_2} > x_{S_1}$

Similarly we get $x_{S_4}, x_{S_5}, x_{S_6}, \dots$

Continuing this way we obtain an increasing subsequence (x_{S_n}) of (x_n)

i.e. (x_{S_n}) is a monotone increasing subsequence of (x_n)

Hence in both the cases (x_n) has a monotone subsequence.